

Exploring Shilpkar(Dalit) Culture: A Study of Dalit Identity Amidst the Dominance of Bith (Upper Caste) Culture in Uttarakhand"

Dr. Sandeep Kumar,
Assistant Professor, History
G.D.C. Baluwakote, Pithoragarh

Abstract-

The study of history fundamentally involves the exploration of civilizations and cultures—specifically, those that have emerged within human society. However, a significant inquiry presents itself: which human cultures have been the focus of study? Were these cultures all-encompassing, representing every facet of human society? Furthermore, if these cultures were neither all-encompassing nor reflective of every social class, what elements hindered their widespread acceptance? It is pertinent to note that Dalit (Shilpkar) cultures were not all-encompassing. If they had been, the upper echelons of society would have either partially or wholly embraced them. Conversely, these cultures were categorically dismissed as uncultured or uncivilized, and regulations were instituted to guarantee that these cultures could never be assimilated into the mainstream. This research paper seeks to investigate these very elements and facts.

Keywords:

Shilpkar (Dalit), Elite, Bith (Upper caste), Culture, Dominance.

Introduction-

In Uttarakhand's historical social hierarchy, society is divided into two primary categories: Bith and Dom. The Bith group is additionally categorized into Thul-jaat (Asal). Conversely, the Dom, currently referred to as Shilpkar, are considered a lower caste and are labeled as untouchables. In Uttarakhand, the prevailing culture is predominantly viewed as that of the Bith (upper caste). The notion of culture emerging from the lower strata of society is entirely overlooked. Heritage, art, sculpture, and traditions are all perceived through the lens of elite dominance. The traditions, art forms, and music of the lower castes are similarly disregarded, much like their societal status. Furthermore, the dichotomy of pure and impure is also manifested within cultural practices. Consequently, the culture originating from the lower castes is deemed impure. The Shilpkar (Dalit) culture cannot be limited to a single name or caste designation; instead, it

embodies a collective journey of identity that has transformed over time, shedding negative labels like Doom and Untouchable. The identity of the Shilpkar has developed in direct response to a culture marked by marginalization, oppression, and exploitation, which they have faced for centuries as a result of the caste system. In the traditional society of Uttarakhand, the Shilpkar were often seen as outcasts, ostracized not only by the upper castes but also regarded as a group that brought social contamination. They were commonly linked to tasks deemed impure, such as leather crafting, dealing with animal remains, and waste management. The Shilpkar's pursuit of recognition and existence represents the outcome of a long-standing struggle, fought on multiple ideological fronts.

In the cultural context of the Central Himalayas, the Kol, Kirat, and Khas are viewed as the most ancient groups, with the Kol being seen as the oldest among them. The Kol are acknowledged as the forebears of the contemporary Shilpkar (Dalits), a consensus supported by historians, anthropologists, sociologists, and linguists alike. Nevertheless, when examining the culture of these Shilpkar, modern researchers have sought to integrate them into the Brahminical framework and culture. Besides this, the very presence of Shilpkar culture is often unconsidered. Notably, the unique culture of these Shilpkar is entirely dismissed by the Brahminical system, which designates them as untouchables, thereby excluding them from caste, religion, and society. To explore shilpkar culture, it is essential to establish fresh viewpoints that extend beyond current ideologies. Shilpkar culture is distinct from dominant Brahmanical cultures in several respects, and this research paper seeks to examine these distinctions.

Research Methodology –

This investigation employs a qualitative and interpretive approach, primarily relying on secondary data. The objective is to explore the development, features, and differences between Dalit and elite cultures in Uttarakhand by analyzing historical and cultural texts, with an emphasis on how these cultures have been represented, neglected, or celebrated in literary and historical accounts.

Understanding Spiritual Differences: A Comparative Study of Shilpkar and Bith (upper Caste) Practices:

The Brahmanical system advocates for the spiritual and religious advancement of the upper castes, simultaneously hindering the spiritual development of Shilpkar by prohibiting their access to temples and participation in yajnas (sacrificial ceremonies). Conversely, Shilpkar culture substitutes traditional rituals with inclusive practices that embrace all social groups without limitations, exemplified by Jagar. The spirituality of Shilpkars markedly diverges from the Brahmanical perspective. While the Brahmanical system perceives moksha (liberation) as the ultimate spiritual goal associated with an afterlife, Shilpkar spirituality prioritizes the alleviation of suffering. It is defined by a reciprocal relationship between individuals and deities, wherein devotees present a portion of their joy (the desired item) as a gift upon the fulfillment of their requests. This covenant may encompass healing, protection from malevolent spirits, relief from adverse weather conditions, safeguarding livestock, and defending against oppressors. Fundamentally, Shilpkar spirituality is deeply intertwined with the material world, based on mutual exchange. In Kumaon, Shilpkar play a pivotal role in spiritual philosophy, performing local rituals for deities, referred to as Jagar, and managing negative forces such as ghosts and spirits, thus providing religious healing to other social groups. They possess profound knowledge of invoking deities and controlling spirits through methods such as mantra recitation, playing the hudka (a hand-drum), and mastering musical modes.

Shilpkar promote the idea of universal cultural education, which encompasses the acceptance of all religions, sects, and the inclusion of women. The educational institutions established by Munshi Hariprasad Tamta in Almora and Khushiram in Nainital exemplify this vision. Conversely, Brahmanical culture restricts education to a singular class. Trail notes that there is virtually no public educational institution, such as a school, in this area, and private education is limited to the upper class. Typically, the educators are Brahmins who instruct their pupils solely in reading, writing, and arithmetic. The offspring of elite Brahmins receive instruction in Sanskrit. Regarding Shilpkar, the upper castes frequently assert that Shilpkar's have historically been linked to them, establishing relationships rooted in kinship. During occasions such as marriages, festivals, religious ceremonies (dev puja), or other significant events, they have consistently provided financial support, grains, and various items to the Shilpkars. To begin with, this can be interpreted as economic shrewdness, where their agricultural laborers and Shilpkar's were compelled to exert considerable effort for their products while receiving minimal compensation or resources in return. Secondly, it can be viewed as a factor that significantly boosts social prestige, wherein the

Shilpkar's, as a servant, was perceived as inferior and reliant on the master's benevolence, thus remaining low in the social hierarchy. Furthermore, with scant wages and resources, the Shilpkar was unable to attain a position of resistance; any initial dissent was quelled on the premise that opposing the master would result in the loss of what little was provided and potential expulsion from the village. Thirdly, there was also a perceived spiritual advantage, as they believed they were aiding the impoverished and landless, positioning themselves as the primary recipients of spiritual and divine favor. In essence, this represents a feudal model of contemporary capitalism, characterized by maximum labor for minimal compensation, intertwined with caste-based concepts of purity and impurity. In contrast, Shilpkar's articulate a vision of cultural spirituality and inclusive spirituality, which will be elaborated upon in the subsequent section.

"Bridging Realms: A Comprehensive Study of Savarn Spiritual Knowledge and Shilpkar's Material Wisdom":

Many scholars write about the ancient culture of Uttarakhand, stating that the Kol and Kirat were the original inhabitants of the region, whose culture was assimilated by the Khas people. Subsequently, Shankaracharya came here and replaced it with Brahminical culture. The current culture is a synthesis of all these cultures. In this context, the statement of former President Dr. K.R. Narayanan becomes worth considering: "Conflict arises not between civilizations, but between uncivilized elements." The Khas considered the culture of the Kol-Kirat inferior to their own, and the Brahmins regarded the culture of the Khas similarly. In reality, this was a process of imposing one's own culture over another. The Khas replaced the Kol-Kirat's nature worship and animism with feudal deity worship, and the Brahmins, in turn, replaced feudal deity worship with spiritual Brahmanism. The Kol-Kirat's worship of living beings and nature was supplanted by hero worship—the veneration of the souls of just and powerful warriors. The Brahmins gave this a religious framework based on the caste and varna system and superimposed Vedic gods over them. For the Khas, the complete eradication of Kol culture was not possible because, initially, only the original inhabitants could guide how to survive the harsh and rugged conditions here. Therefore, they were subjugated to a servile status, and hero worship was also imposed on them. The Brahmins maintained this system but altered it by framing it within the boundaries of caste, purity, and impurity. Those early worshippers of nature, production, and animism were declared socially and spiritually outcast. In the Shilpkar culture, the concept of deity worship appears distinct from

the Vedic gods. Whereas in Brahminical literature, attaining or merging with Brahman (God) is the ultimate spiritual goal, achieved through certain rituals that must be performed by trained or expert Brahmins, who also require adherence to rules of purity, the Shilpkar form of deity worship is connected to nature and material culture. Their gods are associated more with practical knowledge than spiritual knowledge and are concerned with protecting the culture of production. This production culture focuses on the present rather than the afterlife, ghosts, or the future. The gods are expected to safeguard their production and material culture, which benefits not only the individual and their family but also other social groups—in other words, the concept of collective benefit. In Shilpkar deity worship, the idea of collectivity is not one-sided but involves pleasing the gods together with all social groups. A portion of the production or other goods is also offered to the gods as a gift. Ghantakarna or Ghandyal is among the deities worshipped by lower castes. In descriptions of Badrinath, Ghantakarna is mentioned as a servant of Badrinath and is considered a follower of Shiva. This deity is believed to cure skin diseases and is worshipped along with a water vessel. Bhairava is also regarded as a god of the lower castes. Generally, their temples were built to protect against evil spirits and calamities. To ward off wrath, the king had eight Bhairava temples constructed in Almora. According to Atkinson (pages 545-546), Gangnath is a popular deity among Shilpkar's. When someone is harassed by a bad or powerful person, they seek help from Gangnath, who punishes the wrongdoer. Goril, also known as Gorla, Gwal, and Gol, is a widely worshipped deity among the lower castes in Kumaon. Goril is especially revered for justice, healing illness, and fulfilling desires. Similarly, Kshetrapal or Bhumiya is the guardian deity of fields, farms, and boundaries. Aedi is a forest deity. Chaumu is the protector deity of cattle, as is Badhan. Atkinson (pages 546-557) notes that Gadeli (river goddess) is invoked when a child falls ill and worshipped after the harvest to give thanks. Similarly, Masani is told, "If you do not find water at the time of death, we will offer you water." Trail writes in his report that drought, soil fertility, livestock epidemics, and other agricultural losses are attributed to the wrath of some deity, and various rituals are performed to appease them. (Atkinson, page 562) Even today in Kumaon, when a person falls ill, it is believed that they have been afflicted by "chal" — the shadow of ghosts or spirits. To remove this "chal," chants and magical rites are performed, known as "jagar." (Source: Sanskriti Sangam, p. 40)

"Decoding Power Dynamics: The Language of Dominance vs. the Language of Oppression in Social Discourse":

In the Brahminical system, a special language (Sanskrit) held great importance, known as the language of the gods, which was the language of the dominant classes. The right to speak this language and pronounce some of its special words was reserved only for a particular class. In contrast, the language of craftsmen was the vernacular, which anyone could use anywhere. Opposite to the language of the gods, during the British rule, a new language emerged among the elite classes, which was different from the previously prevalent elite language and was the new administrative language. The younger generation of the elite, especially Brahmins, immediately adopted it in place of Sanskrit because the old Brahmins could not embrace it, and slow changes began to appear in the prevailing orthodox traditions and systems. There were no restrictions for craftsmen in adopting this language, so they also began to learn it, which became unacceptable to the upper castes. Here, Traill's statement becomes debatable, where he describes craftsmen as having immoral character and a nature different from the upper castes. (Traill, Sketch of Kumaon, A.R.I., Volume 16, page 218.) The modern Dalit thinker and writer Mohan Mukht refers to a poem by Gumani Pant, which in the context of British rule says—

मखमल और कमख गजागज छींट गजी से सस्ती है

टके सेर के मेवे खाके डुमड़ी में भी मस्ती है,

कहे गुमानी अंग्रेजों की दुनियां में परबस्ती है !

पुल दरियाव जगै-जगै पर किले कोटघर बंगले हैं

चूड़े और चमार धनंदर बांभन-बनिये कंगले हैं !

The resentment of arrogance is evident in these lines, reflecting the opportunities and the awakening among Dalits during British rule. The mobility of Dalits and the slightest hint of wealth are intolerable to them. Kanchani—the woman who sells her body, the low-caste Dalit woman who makes a living by dancing—is portrayed with an anti-Dalit and anti-woman image. The hateful term "Doom," which was used as an insult against lower castes, is clearly visible in her poetry.

It was not only the universalization of the English language that was opposed; there was also resistance to the universalization of Hindu society. When the Arya Samaj, based on their interpretation of the Vedas, entered Uttarakhand, and Lala Lajpat Rai addressed the Dalit castes

there as Shilpkar's and began advocating for Shilpkar's right to wear the sacred thread (Janeu), several such incidents occurred that the upper caste society fiercely opposed. Considering all these facts, as Sumit Sarkar wrote, this was called the concept of Kali Yuga. It was described as a reversal of the traditional caste and gender hierarchy, with disobedient women and Shudras dominating high caste men. Kali Yuga became a language expressing the frustration, pain, and resentment of less successful educated individuals from the higher castes. (Sumit Sarkar, p. 206) Although Shilpkar were connected to food production processes (as agricultural laborers), due to their limited ownership of agricultural land, their share in the produced food was almost negligible. What little share of food they received from their labor was considered alms. If they took even the smallest portion of food they produced for their family's sustenance, it was labeled theft. For this reason, the British began classifying them as a criminal tribe. During Trail's time (1815-1835), in Kumaon and Garhwal, the British administration relied on local intermediaries or "Munshis" for communication between local languages (such as Kumaoni, Garhwali, and Hindi) and English. These Munshis or local scholars acted as translators, helped in document writing, revenue record-keeping, and translating between local languages (like Sanskrit, Kumaoni, or Hindi) and English. Trail, in his book "Statistical Sketch of Kumaon," mentions working with local people but clearly did not have extensive knowledge of the Shilpkar communities; his prejudice against Shilpkar's was based solely on local intermediaries and elite perspectives. He worked without resistance even in forced labor conditions for his local wholesalers (landlords) and Brahmins—work considered menial and done without complaint—so the British Raj could not have created such intermediaries. In Kali Yuga, women came to be associated with greed for wealth and possessions. Here, Gumani does not focus on all women but specifically targets low-class Shilpkar women as symbols of immorality, even questioning their right to eat delicious sweets—things previously reserved only for the upper classes. He laments the economic changes happening to Shilpkar's under British rule. There was widespread opposition to the universalization of the elite class's distinct language—that is, the adoption of this language by Shilpkar's. Some reformers, through the Arya Samaj's initial Hindu reform movement in Uttarakhand, faced open opposition from the upper castes. The Kumaon Council president, Pandit Taradatt Gairola, was reportedly deceitfully called inside a house by upper castes and severely beaten by Shilpkar's. They rubbed sandalwood paste into his shoes, broke his sacred thread, and forced him into porter's labor, among other abuses. (Satyaketu Haridutt, Part 4, p. 265) Regarding the cultural assimilation of Shilpkars, Rajput-Brahmins said

Shilpkars should neither abandon their hereditary customs nor wear the sacred thread. Hargovind Pant and Harikrishna Pandey asked Shilpkar leader Khushi Ram to stop wearing the sacred thread to maintain peace. (Shakti, September 1925) Clearly, Shilpkar culture resists linguistic dominance; instead, it advocates for the universalization and democratic nature of language, where there is no notion of a language as an oppressor. It openly opposes the traditional theory of inequality and promotes coexistence of languages rather than dominance. This is why the devotional songs (Jagars), seasonal songs (Ritugits), and even songs of separation and complaint used by Shilpkar's for their physical and spiritual welfare are not exclusive to one class but belong to all classes and express collective welfare and the voice of all people.

It was not only the universalization of the English language that was opposed; the universalization of Hindu society was opposed as well. When the Arya Samaj, based on its interpretations of the Vedas, entered Uttarakhand, and Lala Lajpat Rai addressed the local Dalit castes as 'Shilpkars' and began advocating for their right to wear the sacred thread (Janeu), many incidents occurred that met fierce resistance from the upper castes. Considering all these facts, as Sumit Sarkar wrote, this was called the concept of Kali Yuga. It was described as an overturning of the traditional caste and gender order, with disobedient women and Shudra dominance over high-caste men. Kali Yuga became a language expressing the frustration, pain, and resentment of less successful, educated individuals in the upper castes. (Sumit Sarkar - p.206) Though the Shilpkars were linked to food production processes (as agricultural laborers), their share in the produced food was negligible because they did not own much agricultural land themselves. Even the minimal share they earned through their labor was treated as alms. If they took even the smallest portion of the food produced by their own family's labor, it was equated with theft. For this reason, the British began classifying them as a criminal tribe. During the period of British rule (1815–1835), the administration in Kumaon and Garhwal relied on local intermediaries or "Munshis" to communicate between local languages (like Kumaoni, Garhwali, and Hindi) and English. These Munshis or local scholars acted as translators. They worked locally as Munshis or Pandits, assisting with document writing, maintaining revenue records, and translating from local languages (such as Sanskrit, Kumaoni, or Hindi) into English. Trail mentioned working with local people in his book Statistical Sketch of Kumaon, though clearly he did not have much knowledge about the Shilpkar communities. His bias against Shilpkars was based solely on local prejudices and upper-caste perspectives. He worked without protest even under forced labor conditions for

local landlords (zamindars) and Brahmins, performing tasks considered menial without complaint, so such exploitative practices could not have originated with Trail during British rule. In Kali Yuga, women began to be associated with greed for wealth and goods. Here, the writer does not focus on all women but targets the lower-class Shilpkar women as symbols of immorality, even questioning their enjoyment of sweet delicacies—how could they eat such things that until then were only rights of the upper classes? He laments the economic changes happening to the Shilpkars under British rule. There was widespread opposition from the elite classes to the universalization of their distinct language—that is, to the acceptance of Shilpkars. The initial reform movement for Hindu religious reform led by Arya Samaj in Uttarakhand was openly opposed by the upper castes. The president of the Kumaon Council, Pandit Taradatt Gairola, wrote that the Shilpkars deceived him by inviting him inside a house where they severely beat him, rubbed his sandalwood with their shoes, tore off his sacred thread, and subjected him to forced labor as a porter, among other abuses. (Satyaketu Haridatt, Part 4, p. 265) Regarding the Sanskritization of the Shilpkars, Rajput-Brahmins said that Shilpkars should not give up their traditional ways or wear the sacred thread. Hargovind Pant and Harikrishna Pandey told Shilpkar leader Khushi Ram to stop wearing the Janeu for the sake of peace. (Shakti, September 1925) Clearly, Shilpkar culture opposes linguistic dominance; rather, it Navigating the Tides of Equity: A Comparative Study of Dalit Democracy and Elite Power Structures"interprets language universalization and democratic forms as ones in which the concept of an oppressor language does not exist. It openly opposes the old principles of inequality. It advocates for the coexistence of languages, not dominance. This is why the devotional songs (devgeet/jagar), seasonal songs (ritugeet), and even songs of separation and complaint used by Shilpkars for their physical and spiritual well-being belong not to a single class but to all classes, expressing collective welfare and the feelings of all.

"Navigating the Tides of Equity: Shilpkar (Dalit) Democracy and Elite Power Structures"

In the Brahminical system, fundamental rights are discussed only for the upper castes, while the existence of Shilpkar's is completely denied. The Shilpkar culture is a shared culture that, despite being oppressed, advocates coexistence. Atkinson mentions two types of slaves—domestic slaves and agricultural slaves; domestic slaves belonged to the Khas class, while agricultural slaves were from the Shilpkar class. Slaves depended on their masters for their daily

needs. In reality, agricultural slaves were temporary, meaning Shilpkar's were called upon only during farming periods. The purchase of women for Shilpkar's and Vaishya livelihoods was common; parents themselves sold their children. (Atkinson-493) This clearly shows the extent of untouchability. The classification of slaves proves that Shilpkar's were not allowed entry into the homes of upper castes, and in many cases, were even prohibited from entering villages. Their work was limited to being plowmen (field tillers), and even that depended on the goodwill of their masters. The buying and selling of men and women was a violation of their fundamental rights and humanity. In contrast, the Shilpkar culture sought a democratic share of their labor or some capital, but they hardly ever received wages for their work. For making sacks and bags, hemp fibers were used, known as kuthle in the hills. Buddhist texts use the term kathmitika for these. Buddhist monks were forbidden from wearing wool or fur from animals; instead, they wore robes made from hemp fibers. Such clothing is referred to in Buddhist literature as bhangisi, bhangik, or bhangiya, and sometimes as Nepalak. According to Ganpat Shastri (Part 1, p.192), Nepali folklore states that during Shankaracharya's time, worship of Pashupatinath was conducted by Buddhist priests. Shankaracharya killed many followers of Buddhism, forcing the rest to abandon Buddhism, convert to Hinduism, and adopt animal sacrifice. Agricultural lands under the control of Buddhist monasteries in Kumaon-Garhwal were confiscated. The Katyuris, considered Shudras, began to be called Rajputs, and indigenous tribes were labeled Dom. (Okley, Holy Himalaya, pp. 96-97) Middle Himalayan literature contains many accounts of the violation of Shilpkar's' rights and the prohibition of their religious and spiritual freedoms, completely refuting the notion that previous systems were a golden age.

"Exploring the Sacred: Food Culture and Purity Concepts Among Bith (Savarna) and Dalit (Shilpkar) Communities"

Within the Brahminical framework, there exist particular dietary limitations. Nevertheless, in the elevated Himalayan regions, even those of higher caste partake in meat consumption. In the mid-Himalayan territories, the consumption of meat is not entirely forbidden; instead, the restrictions pertain to specific animal types. This prohibition represents an imposition on pre-existing cultural practices and can be interpreted as a form of cultural imperialism. Ultimately, this differentiation is not centered on the food itself but rather on the individuals who partake in it. Deepa Tok asserts that the upper castes provided the same food to others that they themselves

consumed, yet the food ingested by Dalits was deemed unclean and impure, despite the fact that upper castes consumed the same items (Rege et al., 63-68). It is crucial to recognize that the distinctiveness of Dalit cuisine is not determined by the options available to them, but rather by what was left for them. All so-called "pure" foods were appropriated by upper-caste communities, relegating Dalits to the remnants of meat, flour, and grains. The upper castes adhere to a specific dietary code that dictates which foods may be shared among castes and which are prohibited. Dining with Shilpkar's was entirely forbidden. A post by Koranne-Khandekar elucidates their perspective on the correlation between social standing and dietary habits—indicating that the higher one's societal status, the less varied one's diet becomes. This phenomenon is also observable in the mid-Himalayan region. Here, in addition to dietary restrictions, one can observe variations in the cooking methods as well. For Shilpkar women, cooking is a routine, everyday task, while in the kitchens of upper-caste women, the divine is the primary focus. Rigid purity regulations must be adhered to, such as cooking exclusively in unstitched garments or refraining from kitchen activities during menstruation. Indeed, in numerous Brahmin households, women were even prohibited from entering the kitchen.

"Navigating Patriarchy: Insights into Shilpkar (Dalit) and Bith Cultures"

Patriarchal systems exist among both Shilpkar's and upper castes. Exploitation was present in both cultures, but in terms of prohibitions and religious control, the Shilpkar community can be considered more democratic, though not entirely. The problems faced by Shilpkar women are greater than those faced by upper-caste women. First and foremost, they have to struggle for their existence and identity. Additionally, Shilpkar women face exploitation in three ways: first, due to their caste; second, due to their economic condition; and third, due to their gender. In the first case, they face untouchability; in the second, they struggle with poverty; and in the third, they are considered inferior because they are women. Beyond these three conditions, there are many layers of their exploitation. Being women, they are subject to numerous religious and social prohibitions. Due to their economic situation, they suffer from malnutrition and other health problems, and are deprived of all material comforts and conveniences. As Dalits, they have historically endured both physical and mental exploitation. In the early medieval period, women began to be seen as property, gradually becoming objects of consumption. Dalit women have endured this exploitation more than other women because, besides their own families, they were also regarded as the

property of upper-caste men. They have endured lifelong harassment (verbal abuse), physical violence, sexual exploitation, agricultural servitude, forced labor, and poverty. Yet, in the mid-Himalayan regions, a clear difference can be seen in the patriarchal structures of Shilpkar's versus upper castes. Among the upper castes, especially Brahmins, there is a distinct division of labor between men and women, with upper-caste women appearing distant from direct spiritual activities and existing subordinately to men. They are not direct participants in spiritual work but remain subordinate in all such activities. In contrast, Shilpkar women have been action-oriented; although they struggled with their fate, they did not lament it. Despite facing all kinds of exploitation, they were more active and pragmatic than fatalistic. Shilpkar women are equal partners with men in the production process, whether in agriculture, animal husbandry, or other crafts, and compared to other groups, they can easily engage in traditional work. This is because there are no spiritual or religious restrictions on them performing these tasks. In contrast, women of other castes are prohibited from performing their traditional and caste-specific duties—such as rituals (yajnas) or warfare—except in exceptional emergency situations.

"Breaking Barriers: A Comparative Exploration of Economic Disparities in Bith (Savarna) and Shilpkar (Dalit) Lives"

The level of economic disparity in the Shilpkar culture is more apparent among the upper castes. This is due to the geographical conditions of the Central Himalayan regions as well as caste, which is a significant factor. Besides their traditional craft work, Shilpkar's also performed other tasks such as blacksmithing, carpentry, masonry, entertainment (dancers and singers), drumming outside temples, village watchmen, and more. Additionally, they worked as tenant farmers for their upper-caste patrons, performing labor-intensive tasks like plowing fields, breaking stones, and fetching wood. These jobs were part of a forced labor system.

It is worth noting that during the medieval Katyuri and Chand feudal systems, Shilpkar's were essentially agricultural serfs. After the arrival of Shankaracharya in Uttarakhand and the establishment of Brahminical order, Shilpkar's were relegated to an economically disadvantaged, socially and religiously marginalized, and untouchable status. It is also important to consider that due to their economic backwardness, these groups were morally stigmatized and socially criminalized. For a powerful landowner, known locally as a "Thokdar," having multiple wives—both legal and illegal—was a symbol of status and prestige. However, it was unimaginable for a

woman or an Shilpkar to even consider ownership of property or equality with their patrons. While Brahmins could lawfully receive precious gems, jewelry, and land as gifts or offerings, Shilpkar's had to beg for even a minimal share of the produce from the fields they worked on as tenant farmers. In fact, Shilpkar's were often disparagingly labeled as a "begging caste." The last Katyuri king would have wheat milled from his granary but would charge more than what was given. Every village was forced to provide labor in rotation, but there were no fixed rules—whatever the ruler desired became law. Handsome young boys and girls from the populace were forcibly taken as servants or slaves.

Regarding King Brahmadev, there was a saying that he had iron shackles fastened around the shoulders of those who carried his palanquin to prevent them from throwing him into a deep pit if they ever mistook him for a tyrant. To further increase economic disparity, a tax called "Mijhari" was imposed exclusively on Shilpkar's. In Brahminical literature, people involved in agricultural and craft production were classified as Shudras and considered fit only for manual labor, deemed unsuitable for intellectual work. This perception of Shilpkar's, rooted entirely in prejudice, has persisted over time. Shilpkar's were involved in various crafts such as ironwork, carpentry, agriculture, and leatherwork. It is generally assumed that these crafts involved only manual labor and lacked intellectual or creative input. However, it was these very Shilpkar's who were the early engineers and scientists of Uttarakhand. They were the first to discover iron and its smelting process, inventing various tools that revolutionized agriculture and the military. They also pioneered early seed development techniques. Shilpkar's were the first to understand soil properties and the benefits of fertilizers.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the insightful comparison drawn between the Brahmanical and Shilpkar spiritual practices serves as a potent reflection of the profound divide evident in their respective spiritual approaches. This underscores the critical need to appreciate and recognize the diverse spiritual traditions that exist, not only for the purpose of cultural learning but also for fostering social unity. The intricate and multifaceted cultural evolution experienced by Uttarakhand vividly illustrates the nuanced interplay between assimilation and dominance among the region's original inhabitants and subsequent groups. This historical narrative paints a rich tapestry of beliefs that place a premium on collective benefit and practical wisdom over spiritual elitism, thereby

emphasizing the significance of valuing and respecting the diverse cultural practices that have shaped the region over time.

Moreover, a deep dive into the historical relationship between language and power unveils a strong undercurrent of resistance to linguistic and social control within Shilpkar communities like the Shilpkars. This resistance underscores the pressing necessity for inclusive and open communication channels that can lay the foundational stones for a fairer and more egalitarian society, while also prompting a critical examination of entrenched hierarchical structures that shape societal norms. Delving further, an exploration of the complex dynamics at play in the realms of food, culture, and social hierarchy within the Dwij and Dalit communities unveils the discriminatory undertones embedded in food practices. This, in turn, illuminates the intrinsic link between one's social standing and dietary habits, underscoring the enduring influence of caste on food customs prevalent in the mid-Himalayan region. Furthermore, a careful examination of the patriarchal systems entrenched within Shilpkar (Dalit) and Savarna cultures brings into sharp focus the stark disparities that women within these communities grapple with. This stark revelation sheds light on the intricate and often oppressive dynamics at play within patriarchal structures that shape these communities, necessitating a deeper introspection and a call to action for gender equality and empowerment. Overall, the persistent economic disparities faced by Dwij (Savarna) and Shilpkar (Dalit) Shilpkar's in the Central Himalayan regions can be directly traced back to the enduring grip of the caste system and the deep-rooted historical feudal structures. These structural barriers perpetuate the marginalized status of these Shilpkar's despite their substantial contributions in various fields, thereby underscoring the pressing need to confront and rectify these ongoing injustices in order to forge a more just and equitable society for all.

References

- Amin, S., & Pandey, G. (2016). *Nimnvargiy prasang*. New Delhi, India: Rajkamal Prakashan.
- Atkinson, E. T. (2002). *The Himalayan Gazetteer*. Delhi, India: Low Price Publication.
- Chatak, G. (1960). *Gadwali bhasha or uska sahitya*. Dogadda, India: Veergatha Prakashan.
- Crooke, W. (1896). *Religion and folklore of Northern India*. London, England: Archibald Constable & Co.

- Joshi, M. P. (Ed.). (2006). *Uttaranchal Himalaya ke das-shilpkar (Scheduled Caste) past and present*. Almora, India: Almora Book Depot.
- Joshi, M. P. (2011). *Scheduled and Backward Castes of Central Himalayas: Tradition, crafts and enterprises*. Almora, India: Almora Book Depot.
- Kancha, I., & Kuruppusami, K. R. (2025). *Shudra: Ek naye path ki parikalpana*. New Delhi, India: Rajpal & Sons.
- Majumdar, D. N. (1961). *Races and cultures of India*. Delhi, India: Asia Publishing House.
- Negi, J. S. (1920). *Himalaya travels*. Calcutta, India: Chakraborty Chatterjee & Co. Ltd.
- Oakley, E. S. (1905). *Holy Himalayas*. London, England: Oliphant Anderson and Ferrier.
- Pandey, B. (2003). *Kumaun ka itihās*. Almora, India: Almora Book Depot.
- Raturi, H. (2007). *History of Garhwal* (Y. S. Katoch, Ed.). Tehri, India: Bhagirathi Publishing House.
- Sankrityayan, R. (1953). *Himalaya-Parichari-I Garhwal*. Allahabad, India: Allahabad Law Journal Press.
- Sanwal, R. D. (1970). *Stratification in rural Kumaon*. Delhi, India: Oxford University Press.
- Sarkar, D. C. (1951). *Sam Ancient Kings of Kumaon and Garhwal*. *Journal of Indian History*, 12.
- Sax, W. S. (2009). *God of justice: Ritual healing and social justice in the Central Himalayas*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Shah, J., & Singh, B. (1979). *Garhwal Shilpakar Sabha*. Delhi, India: Garhwal Shilpakar Sabha.
- Sharma, D. D. (1998). *Himalayan sanskriti ke muladhar*. Solan, India: Ira Publications.
- Sharma, D. D., & Sharma, M. (2015). *Social and communal history of Uttarakhand*. Haldwani, India: Ankit Prakashan.
- Shilpkar, P. R. (n.d.). *Kumaon Garhwal's neglected development*. Lucknow, India: Toolite Printers.
- Sutherland, S. (1999). Folk traditions of the Central Himalayas: The role of oral epic in Uttarakhand. *Asian Folklore Studies*, 58(1), 135–154.
- Tripathi, T. (2006). *Central Himalayan languages, folk and ancient place names*. Nainital, India: Gyanodaya Publications.

Vaishnav, Y. D. (Ashok). (1984). *Sangam Sanskriti Uttaranchal*. Agra, India: Ranjan Publishers.

Vaishnav, Y. D. (Ashok). (2017). *History of Kumaon, in the context of Khas (Kassite) caste*. Dehradun, India: Winsar Publishing Co.